

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: LOY=4

In re Application of:

Dirit LOY et al

Appln. No.: 09/887,549

Filed: June 25, 2001

For: DATA MANAGEMENT
APPLICATION PROGRAMMING...

Art Unit: 2171

Dexaminer:LEROUX, E. T.

Washington, D.C.

Confirmation No.5844

September 11, 2003

RESPONSE TO ELECTION AND RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT RECEIVED

Mail Stop Honorable Commissioner for Patents 2011 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03 Arlington, VA 22202

SEP 2 2 2003

Technology Center 2100

Sir:

The Examiner's action dated August 6, 2003, has been received, and its contents carefully noted. In response to the restriction and election of species requirements presented in the action, applicant hereby selects Group IIb, claims 1-13, for Examination on the merits in this application.

However, the requirement is respectfully traversed for the reason that, contrary to the assertion presented in support of the restriction requirement, the combination as claimed in Group I does require the particulars of the "subcombinations" as claimed in the claims of Groups II.

Before discussing the reason for this, it must be pointed out that the claims of Group IIb are not drawn to a cluster of computing nodes, but rather to a method for managing the data storage.

Appln. No.09/88 349 Response dated September 12 Reply to Office Action of August 6, 2003

The fact is that any computing apparatus according to application claim 14, when operated as claimed, will necessarily carry out the method defined in claim 1. In addition, when a software product according to claim 27 is operated as claimed, the resulting computer will necessarily operate according to claim 1.

Therefore, it is not correct to state that the computing apparatus of claim 14 does not require the particulars of the method of claims 1-13 or the software product of claims 27-39, or that the "species" of Groups IIa and IIb are patantably distinct from one another.

Accordingly it is requested that the restriction and election requirements be reconsidered and withdrawn and that all of the pending claims be examined in this application.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

Jav M. Finkelsteir

Registration No. 21,082

JMF:mch

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528 G:\BN\C\colb\Loy4\PTO\Rsp 11 Sept 03.doc